



GRAND RIVER | SOLUTIONS

Title IX Hearings

The Boston Consortium

Jody Shipper
November 2021



Vision

We exist to help create safe and equitable work and educational environments.



Mission

Bring systemic change to how school districts and institutions of higher education address their Clery Act & Title IX obligations.



Core Values

- ❖ Responsive Partnership
- ❖ Innovation
- ❖ Accountability
- ❖ Transformation
- ❖ Integrity

Your Facilitator



Jody Shipper, J.D.

Co-Founder and Managing Director

Jody Shipper is a nationally-recognized subject-matter expert with more than 20 years of experience in Title IX and related fields. She is known for her insight into best-in-class programming, policies, and community outreach aimed at addressing sexual misconduct on campus. She lectures extensively at universities and conferences throughout the U.S. on Title IX, VAWA, harassment, and implementation of best and emerging practices. Jody received her J.D. from the University of California, Hastings College of Law and her bachelor's degree from Georgetown University's School of Foreign Service.



Today's Agenda

01

Title IX Requirements for Hearings

05

The Hearing

02

Process Participants

06

After the Hearing

03


The Advisor's Role

07

Practical Application

04

Pre-Hearing Tasks






Title IX Requirements For Hearings

01

GRAND RIVER SOLUTIONS



Procedural Requirements for Investigations



Notice TO BOTH
PARTIES



Equal opportunity
to present evidence



An advisor of
choice



Written notification
of meetings, etc.,
and sufficient time
to prepare



Opportunity to
review ALL
evidence, and 10
days to submit a
written response to
the evidence prior
to completion of
the report



Report
summarizing
relevant evidence
and 10-day review
of report prior to
hearing



Procedural Requirements for Hearings

Must be live, but can be conducted remotely

Cannot compel participation

Standard of proof used may be preponderance of the evidence or clear and convincing; standard must be the same for student and employee matters

Cross examination must be permitted and must be conducted by advisor of choice or provided by the institution

Decision-Maker determines relevancy of questions and evidence offered

Written decision must be issued that includes finding and sanction

VRLC v. Cardona

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

VICTIM RIGHTS LAW CENTER,
EQUAL RIGHTS ADVOCATES,
LEGAL VOICE,
CHICAGO ALLIANCE AGAINST
SEXUAL EXPLOITATION,
JANE DOE,
an individual by and through
her mother and next friend
Melissa White,
NANCY DOE,
MARY DOE,

Plaintiffs,

v.

MIGUEL CARDONA,
in his official capacity as
Secretary of Education,
SUZANNE GOLDBERG,
in her official capacity as
Acting Assistant Secretary for
Civil Rights,
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT
OF EDUCATION,

Defendants.

CIVIL ACTION
NO. 20-11104-WGY

YOUNG, D.J.

August 10, 2021


ORDER

Administrative Procedure Act ("APA"). Accordingly, section 106.45(b)(6)(i)'s prohibition was vacated as well as remanded on July 28, as is the usual course in successful APA challenges.

Victim Rights Law Center v. Cardona, 120-cv-11104-WGY, at *1 (D. Mass. Aug. 10, 2021).



Do you still have this rule?



Exclusion of all statements of that party or witness



Cross Examination

Exceptions to the Exclusionary Rule



Statements that consist of or are made in the course of the prohibited conduct



When cross examination is waived or not conducted

Hearing Technology: Requirements and Considerations



If hearings cannot be in person, or if someone chooses to participate remotely, must have a remote participation platform available.



All hearings must be recorded.



Participants must be able to communicate during the hearing

The parties with the decision-maker(s)
The parties with their advisors

Purpose of the Hearing



Evaluating the Evidence

Is it relevant?

Evidence is relevant if it has a tendency to make a material fact more or less likely to be true.



Is it authentic?

Is the item what it purports to be?



Is it credible?

Is it convincing?



Is it reliable?

Can you trust it or rely on it?



What weight, if any, should it be given?

Weight is determined by the finder of fact!

Trauma-informed practices provide tools/techniques for interviewing and engaging with the Complainant, Respondent, and Witnesses.



Format/Structure of the Interview



Format of Questions



Approach to Clarification

Lessons Learned



The Process Takes A LOT of Time. Prepare Folks for This.



There Must be a Shared Understanding of Policy and Practices



Gaming the Process



Handling New Evidence at the Hearing



All procedural steps , evidence, and witness log in summary of relevant evidence report

Data Reporting Expectations

By December 1 annually, each institution must submit to the Department of Higher Education a report that includes the numbers of:

- reports of sexual misconduct reported to the Title IX coordinator by a student or employee of the institution against another student or employee;
- reports made by a student or employee against another student or employee investigated by law enforcement, if known;
- students and employees found responsible;
- students and employees found not responsible; and
- disciplinary actions imposed after a finding of responsibility

S. 2979, 191st Gen. Ct. § 168E(q) (Mass. 2021).



Process Participants

02

GRAND RIVER SOLUTIONS



The Participants

The Parties

Advisors

Support Persons?

The Investigator

GRAND RIVER SOLUTIONS

The Participants

The Hearing Facilitator/Coordinator

- Manages the recording, witness logistics, party logistics, curation of documents, separation of the parties, and other administrative elements of the hearing process
- Non-Voting



The Participants

The Decision-Maker(s)


- One person or a panel
- Questions the parties and witnesses at the hearing
- Determines responsibility
- Determines sanction, where appropriate



The Participants

The Hearing Chair

- Is a decision-maker
- Answers all procedural questions
- Makes rulings regarding relevancy of evidence, questions posed during cross examination
- Maintains decorum
- Prepares the written deliberation statement
- Assists in preparing the Notice of Outcome





The Advisor's Role

First Steps

03

GRAND RIVER SOLUTIONS



After you are
assigned a
case...



Review the policy



Review the materials provided, if
any



Reach out to your advisee



Schedule a meeting



Make the Party Aware that ...

You are under no obligation to keep what the party tells you confidential

Not an advocate

There is no attorney client relationship nor any other recognized privilege between you and the party

Were this matter go to a court of law, and you were asked to testify, you would have to do so, truthfully

Do this at the outset



GRAND RIVER SOLUTIONS

Pre-Hearing Preparation

Do Your Homework



Exactly, What Type of Homework?

- Review applicable policy language/provisions
- What are the rules or procedures governing your role?
- Familiarize yourself with investigative report
- What is the timeline of events
- Think about what areas you may want to highlight or expand upon
- What questions does party want to ask
- What type of questions you will ask
- Who are the key witnesses
- Anticipate questions of others
- Consult with your advisee

What does
the party
want you to
show?



Credibility?



Clarification on timeline?



The thought process?



Inconsistencies?



Pre-Hearing Tasks for the Decision-Maker(s) and Chair

4

GRAND RIVER SOLUTIONS



Prior to the Hearing

Bias/conflict of interest check

Report and evidence to parties/advisors

Response (if any) delivered to Decision-Maker

Pre-hearing meeting

Set your script

Rules of decorum

Request for witnesses, evidence, and preliminary questions

Pre-Hearing Meetings

Review the Logistics for the Hearing

Set expectations

- Format
- Roles of the parties
- Participation
- Decorum
- Impact of not following rules

Advance Submission of Questions

NO Advance Rulings

The Decision-Maker(s)



Review evidence and report



Review applicable policy and procedures



Preliminary analysis of the evidence



Determine areas for further exploration



Develop questions of your own



Anticipate the party's questions



Anticipate challenges or issues



Prepare the script

Common Areas of Exploration



Credibility?



Clarification on timeline?




Clarification on language



The thought process?



Inconsistencies?



05

The Hearing

GRAND RIVER SOLUTIONS



Order of the Proceedings

01

Opening
introductions
and instructions
by the Chair

02

OPTIONAL:
Opening
statements

03

Testimony and
questioning of
the parties and
witnesses

04

Optional: Closing
statements

05

Deliberations

Opening Instructions by the Chair

- The institution should have a script for this portion of the proceedings, and it should be used.
- Introduction of the participants.
- Overview of the procedures.
- Be prepared to answer questions.
- Parties are provided one last opportunity to challenge the composition of the Panel for bias or conflict of interest.
 - Chair or TIXC will make ruling.





Testimony

GRAND RIVER SOLUTIONS



Testimony and Questioning of the Parties

01

Decision-Maker(s) will question Complainant first

02

Respondent Advisor questions Complainant and follow up

03

Follow up by the Decision-Maker(s)

04

Decision-Maker(s) will question Respondent second

05

Complainant Advisor questions Respondent and follow up

06

Follow up by Decision-Maker(s)

Questioning of the Witnesses

01

The Chair will determine the order of questioning of witnesses

02

Decision-Maker(s) will question first

03

Advisor cross-examination will occur next

04

Follow up by the Decision-Maker(s)

General Questioning Guidelines

GRAND RIVER SOLUTIONS



Format of Questioning



The Decision-Maker(s) or the Advisor will remain seated during questioning;



Questions will be posed orally, in writing one by one, in email batch????



Questions must be relevant

What constitutes a relevant question?

The Department declines to define “relevant”, indicating that term “should be interpreted using [its] plain and ordinary meaning.”

See, e.g., Federal Rule of Evidence 401 Test for Relevant Evidence:

“Evidence is relevant if:

- (a) it has any tendency to make a fact more or less probable than it would be without the evidence; and
- (b) the fact is of consequence in determining the action.”

When is evidence relevant?

Logical connection between the evidence and facts at issue

Assists in coming to the conclusion – it is “of consequence”

Tends to make a fact more or less probable than it would be without that evidence



Irrelevant and Impermissible Questions

Questions that seek to illicit irrelevant information

- Complainant's prior sexual history
- Information protected by an un-waived legal privilege
- Medical treatment and care

Duplicative questions

Information that is otherwise irrelevant

When Questioning....



Be efficient.



Explore areas where additional information or clarity is needed.



Listen to the answers.



Be prepared to go down a road that you hadn't considered or anticipated exploring.



Take your time. Be thoughtful. Take breaks if you need it.

Foundational Questions to Always Consider Asking

Were you interviewed?

Did you see the interview notes?

Did the notes reflect your recollection at the time?

As you sit here today, has anything changed?

Did you review your notes before coming to this hearing?

Did you speak with any one about your testimony today prior to this hearing?

Common Areas of Where Clarity or Additional Information is Needed

Details about the
alleged
misconduct

Facts related to the
elements of the
alleged policy
violation

Relevancy of
certain items of
evidence

Factual basis for
opinions

Credibility

Reliability

Timelines

Inconsistencies

Questioning to Assess Reliability

Authentication

Inherent plausibility

Logic

Corroboration

Other indicia of reliability

Questioning to Assess Reliability

No formula exists, but consider asking questions about the following:

opportunity to view

ability to recall

motive to fabricate

plausibility

consistency



Opinion Evidence

When might it be relevant?

How do you establish a foundation for opinion evidence so that the reliability of the opinion can be assessed?

Asking Questions to Assess Authenticity

Investigating the Products of the Investigation



Never assume that an item of evidence is authentic.



Ask questions, request proof.



Request further investigation of the authenticity if necessary.

GRAND RIVER SOLUTIONS

What are the “Hard” Questions

Details about the sexual contact

Seemingly inconsistent behaviors

Inconsistent evidence/information

What they were wearing

Alcohol or drug consumption

Probing into reports of lack of memory

How to Ask the Hard Questions

Lay a foundation for the questions

- Explain why you are asking it
- Share the evidence that you are asking about, or that you are seeking a response to

Be deliberate and mindful in your questions:

- Can you tell me what you were thinking when....
- Help me understand what you were feeling when...
- Are you able to tell me more about...

Special Considerations for Questioning the Investigator

- The Investigator's participation in the hearing is as a fact witness and to explain procedure;
- There should be no need for questions if the report is thorough;
- Neither the Advisors nor the Decision-Maker(s) should ask the Investigator(s) their opinions on credibility, recommended findings, or determinations;
- There is one big question to ask: Did you hear anything today that differed from what you were told during the investigation?

Possible Questions for the Investigator, IF RELEVANT AND IF NOT IN REPORT



Ask questions about how they conducted their investigation (process)



Explore the investigator's decision making for excluded witnesses, evidence



Seek clarity about evidence collected

Where it came from
Authenticity of the evidence



Ask factual questions that will assist in evaluation of the evidence



If bias is not in issue at the hearing, the Chair should not permit irrelevant questions of the investigator that probe for bias

Special Considerations for Panels

If a panel, decide in advance who will take the lead on questioning


Go topic by topic

Ask other panelists if they have questions before moving on

Do not speak over each other

Pay attention to the questions of other panelists

Ok to take breaks to consult with each other, to reflect, to consult with the TIXC or counsel



The Do's of Conducting Cross



Be efficient



Highlight the portions of their testimony that support your narrative.



Listen



Do make your points through pointed and calm questioning



Be prepared to go down a road that you hadn't considered or anticipated exploring.



Do raise concerns about credibility and reliability




Take your time. Be thoughtful. Ask for breaks if you need it.

The Do Nots of Cross Examination

Don't rehash
everything a
witness has said.

Don't call folks
liars or attack
them.

Don't rant, rave,
lose your
temper.



The Decision-Maker's Role in Advisor Questioning



05

GRAND RIVER SOLUTIONS

The Role of the Decision-Maker During Questioning by the Advisors

After the advisor poses a question, the proceeding will pause to allow the Chair to consider it.

Chair will determine whether the question will be permitted, disallowed, or rephrased. The Chair may explore arguments regarding relevance with the Advisors.

The Chair will limit or disallow questions on the basis that they are irrelevant, unduly repetitious (and thus irrelevant), or abusive.

The Chair will state their decision on the question for the record and advise the Party/Witness to whom the question was directed, accordingly. The Chair will explain any decision to exclude a question as not relevant, or to reframe it for relevance.

The Chair has final say on all questions and determinations of relevance. The parties and their advisors are not permitted to make objections during the hearing. If they feel that ruling is incorrect, the proper forum to raise that objection is on appeal.

When Assessing Relevance, the Decision-Maker Can:

Ask the party why their question is relevant

Take a break

Ask their own questions of the party/witness

Review the hearing record

Reminder

Logical connection between the evidence and facts at issue

Assists in coming to the conclusion – it is “of consequence”


Tends to make a fact more or less probable than it would be without that evidence



**When the
Exclusionary
Rule is in Effect
and a Party or
Witness Declines
to Answer a
Relevant
Questions Posed
by an Advisor**

The Chair should:

- Remind the party of the impact of not submitting to cross examination;
- Pause the proceedings to allow the party or witness to reconsider.



After the Hearing

06

GRAND RIVER SOLUTIONS




Deliberations



Weighing the Evidence & Making a Determination

1. Evaluate the relevant evidence collected to determine what weight, if any, you will afford that item of evidence in your final determination;
2. Apply the standard of proof and the evidence to each element of the alleged policy violation;
3. Make a determination as to whether or not there has been a policy violation.



Preponderance of the Evidence

More likely than not

Does not mean 100% true or accurate

A finding of responsibility =
There was sufficient reliable, credible evidence to support a finding, by a preponderance of the evidence, that the policy was violated

A finding of not responsible =
There was not sufficient reliable, credible evidence to support a finding, by a preponderance of the evidence, that the policy was violated






Findings of Fact

- **A "finding of fact"**
 - The decision whether events, actions, or conduct occurred, **or** a piece of evidence is what it purports to be
 - Based on available evidence and information
 - Determined by a standard set by institutional policy
 - Determined by the fact finder(s)
- **For example...**
 - Complainant reports that they and Respondent ate ice cream prior to the incident
 - Respondent says that they did not eat ice cream
 - Witness 1 produces a photo of Respondent eating ice cream
- **Next steps?**

Policy Analysis

- Break down the policy into elements
- Organize the facts by the element to which they relate



Allegation: Fondling

Fondling is the:

- ❑ touching of the private body parts of another person
- ❑ for the purpose of sexual gratification,
- ❑ without the consent of the victim,
 - ❑ including instances where the victim is incapable of giving consent because of their age or **because of their temporary or permanent mental incapacity.**

Analysis Grid

Touching of the private body parts of another person	For the purpose of sexual gratification	Without consent due to lack of capacity
Undisputed: Complainant and Respondent agree that there was contact between Respondent's hand and Complainant's vagina.	Respondent acknowledges and admits this element in their statement with investigators. "We were hooking up. Complainant started kissing me and was really into it. It went from there. Complainant guided my hand down her pants..."	Complainant: drank more than 12 drinks, vomited, no recall Respondent: C was aware and participating Witness 1: observed C vomit Witness 2: C was playing beer pong and could barely stand Witness 3: C was drunk but seemed fine Witness 4: carried C to the basement couch and left her there to sleep it off.

Apply Preponderance Standard to Each Element

Touching of the private body parts of another person	For the purpose of sexual gratification	Without consent due to lack of capacity
Undisputed: Complainant and Respondent agree that there was contact between Respondent's hand and Complainant's vagina.	Respondent acknowledges and admits this element in their statement with investigators. "We were hooking up. Complainant started kissing me and was really into it. It went from there. Complainant guided my hand down her pants..."	Complainant: drank more than 12 drinks, vomited, no recall Respondent: C was aware and participating Witness 1: observed C vomit Witness 2: C was playing beer pong and could barely stand Witness 3: C was drunk but seemed fine Witness 4: carried C to the basement couch and left her there to sleep it off.


Final Report – Within 7 business days

- The allegations
- Description of all procedural steps
- Findings of fact
- Conclusion of application of facts to the policy
- **Rationale** for each allegation
- Sanctions and remedies
- Procedure for appeal



Lunch Break

GRAND RIVER SOLUTIONS



07

Practical Application

GRAND RIVER SOLUTIONS



Pre-Hearing

The investigation is complete!

It is time to schedule the hearing...

Rapid Fire #1

Using the chat box, share your “To Do” List for coordinating the hearing.





Rapid Fire Recap

Arranging for space

Arranging technology

Advisors assigned?

Scheduling pre-hearing meetings with parties & advisors

Scheduling prehearing meetings of the panel

Providing report and record to panel and parties

Scheduling the hearing

Accommodations

Call for written submissions

Conflict checks

Other considerations?



You and your team did a great job scheduling the hearing and arranging all the logistics!

Rapid Fire #2

Dear Decision-Maker,

It is now one week prior to the hearing. You have already received and reviewed the report and record and you will be meeting with the rest of the panel (or spending some quite time by yourself) to prepare for the hearing.

Use the chat box to share what you plan to discuss/think about during the prehearing meeting.



Rapid Fire Recap

Development of introductory comments

Initial discussion of the evidence

Areas for further exploration

List of questions for the parties and the witnesses

Anticipation of potential issues

Logistics

Review of any written submissions by the parties

Other considerations?

Recap

Logical connection between the evidence and facts at issue

Assists in coming to the conclusion – it is “of consequence”

Tends to make a fact more or less probable than it would be without that evidence



Can You Have a General Rule About Evidence In Title IX Investigations?

NO



Evidence That Will Not Be Considered



Rape Shield
Protections



Privileged
Information

Not a Court of Law

Not making complex legal arguments

Are not treating parties with hostility

Rules of evidence outside of Title IX regulations do not apply

Not looking for the “gotcha” moment

Decorum at the Hearing

A recipient may adopt rules of order or decorum to forbid badgering a witness, and may fairly deem repetition of the same question to be irrelevant

A postsecondary institution recipient may adopt reasonable rules of order and decorum to govern the conduct of live hearings

Schools “retain flexibility to adopt rules of decorum that prohibit any party advisor or decision-maker from questioning witnesses in an abusive, intimidating, or disrespectful manner.”



Foundational Questions to Always Consider Asking

Were you interviewed?

Did you see the interview notes?

Did the notes reflect your recollection at the time?

As you sit here today, has anything changed?

Did you review your notes before coming to this hearing?

Did You Also Cover . . . ?

- ☐ On campus?
- ☐ Program or Activity?
- ☐ In a building owned or controlled by a recognized student organization
- ☐ Substantial control over respondent and context
- ☐ Complainant was attempting to access program/activity



Angel and Barb

GRAND RIVER SOLUTIONS



Break Out!

#1

Say hi!

Pick a scribe.

Discuss.

- Develop Questions for Hearing Panel to ask and discuss areas or topics that you would like to explore further in the hearing
- **Groups 1 & 2:** Questions for Complainant
- **Groups 3 & 4:** Questions for Respondent
- **Group 5:** Questions for Bob, Dylan, and Stevie
- **Group 6:** Questions for Nick, Kayla, and Caitlyn

Report Out

- **Groups 1 & 2:** Questions for Complainant
- **Groups 3 & 4:** Questions for Respondent
- **Group 5:** Questions for Bob, Dylan, and Stevie
- **Group 6:** Questions for Nick, Kayla, and Caitlyn

The Hearing

Break Out!

#2



Say hi again!



Select a member of your group to take notes and to report out to the whole group.



Discuss the list of proposed questions; make determinations on questions and cite rationale:

Group 1: Questions submitted by Complainant for Respondent

Group 2: Questions submitted by Respondent for Complainant

Group 3: Questions for Bob

Group 4: Questions for Dylan

Group 5: Questions for Stevie, Nick

Group 6: Questions for Kayla, Caitlyn

Report Out

- **Group 1:** Questions submitted by Complainant for Respondent
- **Group 2:** Questions submitted by Respondent for Complainant
- **Group 3:** Questions for Bob
- **Group 4:** Questions for Dylan
- **Group 5:** Questions for Stevie and Nick
- **Group 6:** Questions for Kyle and Caitlyn

These questions are submitted by Complainant for Respondent

1. How many drinks did you have at Kayla's before going to TKE?
2. How big were those drinks?
3. Who mixed those drinks?
4. Were you drunk when you got to TKE?
5. How often do you go to parties?
6. How often do you get drunk at parties?
7. Why didn't you stay with your friends when you got to TKE?
8. When you got to TKE, did you intend to have sex with Angel that night?
9. What else do you remember from that night?
10. Did you play darts?
11. Isn't it true that you were easily able to throw darts and hit the bullseye that night?
12. When Angel was "hugging" you, as you called it, he was actually just holding on to you for support because he could not stand up, isn't that right?
13. How many times did he fall on to you when the two of you were on the couch?
14. Who got up first from the couch?
15. Isn't it true that you got up first and pulled Angel to his feet?
16. You told the investigator the two of you were drunk sloppy kissing? It seems you are admitting that Angel was drunk, isn't that correct?
17. Given all that you recall, it seems that you have a really good recollection of that night, no impaired memory, were easily able to play darts, and never fell down, so for you to act as if you were too drunk to give him a blow job and that you're the real victim here is nothing less than highly offensive, isn't that right?
18. If someone were sexually assaulted and the other person said it was the victim's fault, that is victim blaming, isn't it?
19. Are you alleging you were sexually assaulted?
20. Why would you make that up?
21. If you are saying that you were sexually assaulted, why didn't you file a complaint? Is that because you know you'll lose and be expelled for a false complaint and retaliation if you do that?
22. Are you making an allegation in order to retaliate against complainant?
23. Did you ever drink from Angel's water bottle?
24. Did you ever smell alcohol on Angel's breath while at the TKE party?
25. Have you seen the video of you giving Angel a blow job?
26. Did you initiate sex with Angel in order to get back at your boyfriend?
27. He wasn't restraining you, why didn't you just pull away?
28. Do you often give blowjobs to boys at parties?

Questions submitted by Respondent for Complainant

1. You said you do not remember anyone drinking from your water bottle. How can you remember that?
2. Did you file a report with the police?
3. Why not?
4. Did you get a medical exam?
5. Why not?
6. Is it true that you are hoping to go to medical school?
7. Isn't it true that you are really worried about your grades this semester?
8. Isn't it true you were struggling with inorganic chemistry class?
9. And isn't it true that you wanted to drop the class, but you would have had a "W" on your transcript?
10. Did you end up withdrawing from that class?
11. And isn't it true that there is no notation on the transcript because you were given the gift of being pulled from the class without any transcript notation?
12. And is that why you filed this claim, so you could get out of taking the class without anything showing up on your transcript?
13. You said to the investigator that you "could not believe anyone would film" the sex act that occurred at the TKE party, isn't that correct?
14. Have you ever watched any porn videos?
15. Didn't you take a video last year of some of your friends, including Bob and Dylan, playing a game that involved simulating sex acts?
16. During your interview with the investigator, isn't it true that you had to be reminded by your own advisor that your "story" is that you were too drunk to remember anything, right?
17. How many times did you and your advisor rehearse your interview?
18. You said you had no information or memories about what happened at the party, but also claim to remember how her hands were moving, when the two of you were sitting on the couch in the basement. Which one of those statements is the lie?

Questions for Bob

By Complainant

1. When you were describing the vodka you poured into your water bottle, what exactly do you consider to be 2 or 3 shots?
2. Did you use shot glasses, red solo cups, or did you eyeball it?
3. What do you remember about Barb's Tinder profile?
4. Did it suggest what type of relationship she was looking for?
5. Did you match?
6. Why were you looking at Tinder?
7. How often do you troll on Tinder?
8. You said Barb took a huge gulp out of your water bottle toward the end of the evening. What did you mean by "huge gulp?"
9. How much of the vodka/orange juice in your bottle did you drink that night?
10. How much was left in the morning?

By Respondent

1. Doesn't your frat have a reputation on campus of getting girls drunk at parties?
2. Isn't true when you say you take care of people at parties, that you actually just get them more drunk so they will have sex with one of your friends or brothers? That is what you were doing to the respondent, correct?
3. Didn't you have to retake Measuring Science 101 where they teach you how to measure liquids?
4. How many times did you watch that video before deleting it?
5. Do you still have the video?
6. Will you get in trouble with your fraternity for bringing in illicit alcohol to the party?
7. Isn't it a violation of fraternity rules for you to drink, particularly when you were supposed to stay sober and prevent people from getting too drunk or harming themselves or others?
8. Do you feel bad that, by drinking so much, you were unable to do your job well that night?
9. Do you feel bad that, by drinking so much, you basically allowed the sex assault in the basement to occur, because you weren't keeping a watchful eye?

Questions for Dylan

By Complainant

1. You told the investigator you saw Angel spill beer on Barb, isn't that correct?
2. And didn't you tell the investigator that you also saw Angel stumbling and falling?
3. So basically, he was acting like he was so drunk that he could barely function, right?

By Respondent

1. You made a water bottle of vodka and orange juice correct?
2. How much did you drink?
3. Do you remember how much of it you had left at the end of the night?
4. How strong was your orange juice and vodka?
5. You bought beer. How old are you?
6. Why do you have a fake ID?
7. You said you saw Angel at the party, and that he was so drunk he could barely stand up; did you take any action to protect your drunk friend from drinking more?
8. Is that because your friend was not really that drunk, or because you don't really care about someone being so drunk they can barely stand?
9. Your friend passed out at the end of the night; which emergency number did you call for help?
10. You said you put a trash can by him when you saw him passed out, but you didn't roll him on to his side? Is that because he was sleeping, and not really because he was drunk?
11. Have you ever tried to hit on Barb?
12. Didn't she reject your advances?
13. Is that why you're exaggerating your supposed observations that Angel was so drunk?
14. Respondent does better than you in all your classes, correct?
15. And you said you once caught her cheating off of you, but isn't it actually true that you were the one referred to student conduct for a plagiarism charge?
16. If you have a fake ID, what else is fake? Your testimony, for example?

Questions for Stevie

By Complainant

1. How much did you have to drink?
2. How drunk did you feel that night?
3. Do you think you were close to blacking out?
4. Given how drunk you were, isn't it likely that you don't really have a good memory of that night?
5. You said Angel stumbles even when he is not drinking, yet you know he is an athlete, so how is it that an athlete stumbles and is as uncoordinated even when sober, as you described to the investigator?
6. Do you have a crush on Barb?
7. How long have you wanted to have sex with her?
8. Are you minimizing how very drunk Angel was in order for her to like you more?

By Respondent

1. Did you see Barb and Angel playing darts?
2. Was Angel able to play darts?
3. Was he hanging on to Barb for dear life or standing up and throwing darts?

Questions for Nick

By Complainant

1. Isn't true you have no real friends?
2. You don't get good grades, correct?
3. That is because you are always smoking weed, correct?
4. How much weed did you smoke that night?
5. Does smoking weed impact your ability to remember things?
6. Isn't it true that the reason you started smoking weed was because you got a card for medical marijuana, for your anxiety and ADHD?
7. Did you imagine the conversation about Angel wanting to hook up with a girl?
8. Does your anxiety or ADHD make you imagine things that did not happen?
9. Why did you presume Angel was "cheating" when he was actually the victim of a sexual assault?
10. Did you watch the video?
11. Isn't it obvious to you that Angel is swaying and can barely stand up while she gives him a blow job?
12. Do you still have the video of that night on your phone?
13. If I were to call the police on you and tell them that that you have evidence of a crime on your phone, aren't you scared they will find it?

By Respondent

1. So, the complainant seemed desperate to hook-up with a girl that night?
2. Are you certain you remember that conversation?
3. What else do you recall from that night?
4. Can you remember what you ate for breakfast that day?
5. And isn't it true that you are a really honest person who would never lie?

Questions for Kayla

By Complainant

1. Did you notice the complainant stumbling or falling over, once he was in the basement?
2. Did you feel drunk that night?
3. Was the amount you had to drink that night a lot for you?
4. Given how much you had to drink, do you think you can even trust your memories from that night?
5. Barb is a good friend of yours, isn't she?
6. You would say anything to support her, wouldn't you?
7. Did you tell the investigator that Barb was mad at herself?
8. Is it possible she was mad at herself for violating Angel?
9. You said Barb and Angel were "drunk sloppy kissing." Did you get that phrase from Barb, or did she get it from you?
10. Did she tell you what to say here today?
11. Do you know that, if you are caught lying for her, you will be disciplined and might be suspended or expelled?

By Respondent

1. Would you categorize Angel as the initiator of all the contact between him and Barb?
2. So you would call him the aggressor, is that right?
3. How many times did you see Angel fill Barb's beer that night?
4. And you saw him keep topping it off, is that right?
5. Did that feel predatory to you?
6. Have you seen Angel or any of his friends like Bob, Dylan, Stevie, or Nick try to get a girl really drunk at a party, on any other occasion?

Questions for Caitlyn


By Complainant

1. You would consider complainant a good guy, right?
2. Barb wanted to "have a good time" right?
3. Did she tell you she planned to have sex with Angel that night?
4. You saw her intentionally move down to give a blow job?
5. How often has she done this at other parties? Is giving blow jobs something she casually does at parties?
6. And she is a good friend, right?
7. So this is particularly painful for you, your telling the truth about her sexually assaulting him, right?
8. And would you agree that it does not matter if the victim is a man or a woman, but rape is rape, right?
9. You said Barb had her arm around Angel's waist when people were in the basement that night. Is that so that she could control him?

By Respondent

1. You told the investigator you had 4-5 beers and 2-3 swigs of from Bob's vodka, correct?
 2. Is that a lot for you?
 3. Were you feeling drunk that night?
 4. How drunk?
 5. Was the drink in Bob's water bottle strong?
 6. Given how drunk you were, why should we trust your recollections of that night?
 7. You said you were cheering on the sex act in the video; were you surprised to see yourself doing that?
 8. Do you remember cheering?
-

Questions?



Leave Us Feedback:



Email Us:

jody@grandriversolutions.com

info@grandriversolutions.com



@GrandRiverSols



Grand River Solutions

©Grand River Solutions, Inc., 2021. Copyrighted material. Express permission to post training materials for those who attended a training provided by Grand River Solutions is granted to comply with 34 C.F.R. § 106.45(b)(10)(i)(D). These training materials are intended for use by licensees only. Use of this material for any other reason without permission is prohibited.

